

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC,

NO. C 10-03724 JW

NO. C 10-05254 JW

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER FOLLOWING TUTORIAL
HEARING; ORDER RELATING CASES
FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
PURPOSES**

Acer, Inc., et al.,

AT&T, Inc., et al.,


Defendants.

On February 25, 2011, the Court conducted a tutorial of the patents-in-suit. In light of the parties' presentations at the tutorial and the discussion at the conference, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- (1) Upon review and consideration of the technology involved and the nature of the dispute, the Court finds a single Markman to construe all the disputed claims would be more efficient than any bifurcation at this time. Thus, the Court will conduct a claim construction hearing and issue a Claim Construction Order that will serve as the law of the case prior to bifurcation.
- (2) Once the Claim Construction is issued, the Court will invite cross-briefing from the parties to address the issues identified at the tutorial, namely, remedy exhaustion and direct versus indirect infringement of the patents-in-suit and any other additional issues the Court may identify following the claim construction hearing;

- 1 (3) Although it is the Court's practice to not relate cases that are already assigned to it,
2 the Court orders that C 10-5254 JW be related to C 10-3724 for the purposes of claim
3 construction coordination. The Court lifts the stay imposed in C 10-5254 JW so that
4 the parties in the AT&T can meet and confer with the Defendants in the Acer case
5 and participate in the claim construction process.
- 6 (4) On **March 28, 2011 at 10 a.m.**, the Court will conduct an Initial Case Management
7 Conference.
- 8 (5) On or before **March 18, 2011**, the parties shall meet and confer and submit a Joint
9 Statement and Proposed Schedule in accordance with Patent L.R. 2-1. Specifically,
10 the parties shall address the timing and structure of the claim construction briefing
11 and presentation. In particular, the Court is concerned with which Defendants will
12 take an active role in the claim construction process. Defendants shall meet and
13 confer and if feasible, designate lead attorneys who will represent the interests of all
14 Defendants at the Markman.

15
16
17 Dated: March 7, 2011



JAMES WARE
United States District Chief Judge

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

Andy Tindel atindel@andytindel.com
 Anthony H. Son ason@wileyrein.com
 Ashlea Pflug araymond@winston.com
 Barry Kenneth Shelton shelton@fr.com
 Benjamin Charles Elacqua elacqua@fr.com
 Brian Christopher Claassen Brian.Claassen@kmob.com
 Bruce A Smith bsmith@jwfirm.com
 Charlene Marie Morrow cmorrow@fenwick.com
 Charles Ainsworth charley@pbatyler.com
 Christopher Frederick Jeu cjeu@mofo.com
 Christopher Needham Cravey ccravey@wmalaw.com
 Christopher Ronald Noyes christopher.noyes@wilmerhale.com
 Craig Steven Summers 2css@kmob.com
 Danny Lloyd Williams dwilliams@wmalaw.com
 Darryl Michael Woo dwoo@fenwick.com
 David J Healey healey@fr.com
 David Lee Gann dgann@rgrdlaw.com
 David T McDonald david.mcdonald@klgates.com
 David T Pollock dpollock@reedsmith.com
 Deron R Dacus ddacus@rameyflock.com
 Dominic E. Massa dominic.massa@wilmerhale.com
 Douglas R. Young dyoung@fbm.com
 E Joseph Benz jbenz@csgrr.com
 Eric Louis Toscano etoscano@reedsmith.com
 Garland T. Stephens stephens@fr.com
 Harold H Davis harold.davis@klgates.com
 Hector J. Ribera hribera@fenwick.com
 Hiep Huu Nguyen hnguyen@winston.com
 Hsiang H. Lin jlin@ftbklaw.com
 Irfan A Lateef 2ial@kmob.com
 Irfan Ahmed Lateef ial@kmob.com
 Jack Wesley Hill fedserv@icklaw.com
 James Patrick Brogan jbrogan@cooley.com
 Jason S Jackson jjackson@rgrdlaw.com
 Jeffrey Fuming Yee yeej@gtlaw.com
 Jeffrey K. Joyner joynerj@gtlaw.com
 Jennifer Parker Ainsworth jainsworth@wilsonlawfirm.com
 Jessica M. Kattula jkattula@rgrdlaw.com
 John Christopher Herman jherman@rgrdlaw.com
 John K. Grant johnkg@rgrdlaw.com
 John Philip Brinkmann brinkmann@fr.com
 John W Thornburgh thornburgh@fr.com
 Jonah D Mitchell jmitchell@reedsmith.com
 Jonah Dylan Mitchell jmitchell@reedsmith.com
 Jordan Jaffe jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com
 Karl J Kramer kkramer@mofo.com
 Kevin P.B. Johnson kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
 Kimball R Anderson kanderson@winston.com
 Kyle D Chen kyle.chen@cooley.com
 Kyung Kim dkim@wmalaw.com
 Lam Khanh Nguyen lnguyen@cooley.com

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 Laura Katherine Carter lcarter@winston.com
Lillian J Pan lpan@orrick.com
2 Lionel Marks Lavenue Lionel.Lavenue@finnegan.com
Mahmoud Munes Tomeh 2mmt@kmob.com
3 Mark Daniel Selwyn mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com
Marko R Zoretic 2mrz@kmob.com
4 Matthew Clay Harris mch@emafirm.com
Matthew J. Brigham mbrigham@cooley.com
5 Michael J Newton mike.newton@alston.com
Michael J. Bettinger mike.bettinger@klgates.com
6 Michael L Brody Mbrody@winston.com
Nicholas James Nugent nicholas.nugent@finnegan.com
7 Patricia Kane Schmidt patricia.schmidt@klgates.com
Peter M Jones pjones@rgrdlaw.com
8 Ray R. Zado rayzado@quinnemanuel.com
Richard T Ting rting@reedsmith.com
9 Robert Christopher Bunt rcbunt@pbatyler.com
Robert M Parker rmparker@pbatyler.com
10 Roderick Bland Williams rick.williams@klgates.com
Roger Brian Craft bcraft@findlaycraft.com
11 Ruben Singh Bains rbains@wmalaw.com
Ryan K. Walsh rwalsh@rgrdlaw.com
12 Scott Richard Mosko scott.mosko@finnegan.com
Sean Sang-Chul Pak seankpak@quinnemanuel.com
13 Seth M Sproul sproul@fr.com
Seth McCarthy Sproul sproul@fr.com
14 Steven S. Baik sbaik@ftbklaw.com
Thomas J. Friel tfriel@cooley.com
15 Thomas John Ward jw@jwfirm.com
Timothy Paar Walker timothy.walker@klgates.com
16 William F. Lee william.lee@wilmerhale.com

17 **Dated: March 7, 2011**

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

18
19 **By: /s/ JW Chambers**
Elizabeth Garcia
Courtroom Deputy
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28